MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

TENTH DAY.

Monday, 9th March 1964.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at Thirty Minutes past Twelve of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA, B.A., B.L.) in the Chair.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given.)

Co-operative Farming Societies working in North Kanara District.

*Q.—22. Sri S. P. PHAYADE (Ankola).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of Co-operative Farming Societies that are working in North Kanara District (Talukwise information may be furnished) ;

(*b*) whether there are any proposals pending from the registration of such societies in this District and if so, Talukwise information may be furnished ?

*A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Development, Panchayat Raj and Co operation).—

(*a*) Thirteen.

	Name of Taluk.		*No. of Co-operative Farming Societies working.*
1	Kumta	...	5
2	Sirsi	...	2
3	Siddapur	...	1
4	Haliyal	...	2
5	Bhatkal	...	1
6	Honavar	...	1
7	Mundgod	...	1

(*b*) Two proposals are pending as shown below :—

	Name of Taluk		*No.*
1	Sirsi	...	1
2	Siddapur	...	1

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಪಿ. ಫಾಯದೆ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಅನೇಕ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವು ಈ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಏಕೆ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗಡೆ.—ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟಮೆಂಟಿನ ಮುಂದೆ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಷನ್ನಿಗಾಗಿ ಪೆಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಕೇಸೆಸ್ ಇವೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಕೊಡುವ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಎಷ್ಟು ಬಂದಿವೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಪಿ. ಫಾಯದೆ.—ಸಾಗುವಳಿಗೆ ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕಳೆದ ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನು ಬೇಗ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಮಾಡಿ ಜಮೀನು ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗಡೆ.—ಕೋ-ಆಪರೇಟಿವ್ ಫಾರ್ಮಿಂಗ್ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಯಾರು ಜಮೀನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೋ ಯಾರ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಮೀನು ಇದೆಯೋ ಅಂಥ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಲು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡರೆ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಕೋ-ಆಪರೇಟಿವ್ ಫಾರ್ಮಿಂಗ್ ಸೊಸೈಟಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ; ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಾಗುವಳಿಗೆ ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಪಿ. ಫಾಯದೆ.—ಕಾರವಾರ್ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 92 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಇದೆ, 8 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಜಮೀನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿಯಾದುದು ಇದೆ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇರುವಾಗ್ಗೆ ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೊಡದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಆಹಾರ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಹೇಗೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗಡೆ.—ಹಾಗಲ್ಲ. ಸಾಗುವಳಿಗೆ ಲಾಯಖ್ ಆದ ಜಮೀನು ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದುದು ವಿಸ್ತಾರವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕೋ-ಆಪರೇಟಿವ್ ಬೇಸಿಸ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಾದರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಸರಕಾರದ್ದಿದೆ. ನುಮಾರು 10 ಸಾವಿರ ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಈ 13 ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗಳು ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗಡೆ.—ಕಳೆದ 3 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಈಚೆಗೆ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯಾಗಿವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಪಿ. ಫಾಯದೆ.—ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿ ನೋಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗಡೆ.—ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿ ನೋಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ವಿಷಾದದಿಂದ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ ಕಾಶೀಮಠ.—ಈ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಸಬ್‌ಸೀಡಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗಡೆ.—ಇದು ಒಂದು ಉದ್ದವಾದ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ಇದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಮಯ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If it is a long list, it may be placed on the Table of the House.

Sri SIDDAIAH KASHIMATH.—I do not want the entire list, Sir. Whether they are giving any subsidy equally or not—that is all I want.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—All these societies have been given medium term and long term loans to the extent of Rs. 4,000 per society. Subsidy varies from society to society—from Rs. 400 to Rs. 1,200.

Sri GANJI VEERAPPA.—May I know what is the total extent of land that has come under the co-operative farming in the State ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—That information is not with me now, because the question relates only to North Kanara District.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಈ ಕೋ-ಆಪರೇಟಿವ್ ಫಾರ್ಮಿಂಗ್ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಫೈನಾನ್ಸಿಯಲ್ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆನ್ಸ್ ಏನೇನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಿ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗಡೆ.—ಗೋಡೌನ್ ಕಟ್ಟಲಿಕ್ಕೆ 5,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಲೋನ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆ ಮೇಲೆ ದೀರ್ಘಾವಧಿ ಅಲ್ಪಾವಧಿ ಸಾಲ, ಸಬ್‌ಸಿಡಿ, ಷೇರ್ ಕ್ಯಾಪಿಟಲ್ ಹೀಗೆ 12,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ಒಂದು ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಕ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಪತಿ.—ಈ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗಳ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯಿಂದ ಸೆಚ್ಚು ಆಹಾರ ಬೆಳೆಯ ಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಸಫಲವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗಡೆ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. It depends upon the individual society's performance.

Sri GANJI VEERAPPA.—Have the Government received any complaints that most of the societies are not strictly working on co-operative lines ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I could not comprehend it.

Sri GANJI VEERAPPA.—May I know whether some of the societies are not working on co-operative lines and that individual share-holders do not bring their produce to a common pool ? Have you received any such complaints in Chitradurga District ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The case of Chitradurga District does not arise.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I have no information about the Chitradurga District. But I may inform the Hon'ble Member that there are two categories of co-operative societies—one is collective farming society and second, Co-operative farming society. So far as the first category is concerned, all lands will have to be pooled and the lands will stand in the name of society itself. With regard to the other category, it is not necessary that all land should be pooled in the name of the society. Cultivators can have their land in their own name but they join together for certain specific purposes.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Whether these societies in North Kanara are formed under the joint farming co-operative societies or collective farms?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—Both.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that the several societies in North Kanara, which are working under both systems specially under the collective farms are in fact none other than certain individuals under certain landlords, and that they share all these things ? Is it nota fact that cattle is brought under the cattle farm account.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not able to follow the question. Will the member kindly repeat and make it clear ? He seems to be saying that the cattle that are brought by the landlords and tenants, are brought into the co-operative society's account ; the owner is not a member of the society. Under the guise of the tenant, it is bought and it is entered in the societies accounts and money is taken. Is that the members idea.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Yes, Sir.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—That may be the opinion of the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition. I have no such information.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is there any specific instance ?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—There are several such instances.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The member may kindly mention one name.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—In 10 to 12 societies, these things are happening. Will the Government be pleased to enquire into these cases since allegations have been made ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Would not the enquiry be facilitated if individual instances are given ?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—We are prepared to give details.

Number of N. E. S. Blocks in the State.

Q.—116. Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR (Sampagaon-II).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the whole State has been covered by the N.E.S. Blocks now ;

(*b*) the number of Blocks in the State at present ;

(*c*) the total expenditure on the functioning of these Blocks per annum ?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Development, Panchayat Raj and Co-operation).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) 268.

(*c*) The expenditure varies from year to year. Expenditure incurred during 1962-63 was Rs. 252.14 lakhs. The estimated expenditure as provided in the Budget for 1963-64 is Rs. 261.27 lakhs.

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—Would it be advisable to reduce the number of blocks in the State both from the administrative point of view and the economical point of view ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—It is not advisable to reduce the number of blocks. We shall stand to lose if we reduce the number of blocks. If the Hon'ble Member means reduction in the establishment, then it is different.

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—Even within the taluks would it be advisable to reduce the number of blocks and reduce the expenditure ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Member refers to the reduction in the staff, perhaps that can be considered.

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—The same B.D.O. could look after the increased area.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—That question is under the consideration of Government and the Hon'ble Member may perhaps remember that the recommendation made by the Kondajji Basappa Committee is also on those lines and we are thinking whether we should have more than 2 blocks in the same Taluk in the sense that two B.D.Os. and all establishment should continue and if so, to what extent.